Application No. Applicant(s) 10/609.013 WHITTAKER ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit MICHAEL J. ARAJ 3733 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) MICHAEL J. ARAJ. (3) (2) JAIME BURKE. (4)____. Date of Interview: 29 September 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: ___ Claim(s) discussed: 1.3.5 and 7. Identification of prior art discussed: none. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments; Applicant's representative was called to discuss if the applicant wanted to take the previously objected to claim (3) and put it into independent form to place this case into condition for allowance. The applicant agreed. Claim 3 is cancelled and its claim limitations will be added to claim 1. The dependencies of claims 5 and 7 will now be dependent on claim 1. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Michael J Araj/
Examiner, Art Unit 3733
U.S. Patert and Trademark Office